

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,015 10/26/2001		10/26/2001	Kevin Lauren Cote	600.1181	5037	
23280	7590	09/08/2004		EXAMINER		
		DSON & KAP NUE, 14TH FLO	CHOI, STEPHEN			
NEW YOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			3724		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	Ü				
		10/002,0	15	COTE ET AL.	\checkmark				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	7	Art Unit					
		Stephen	Choi	3724					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>04 June 2004</i> .							
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	, 								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-3,8-16 and 18-20 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicat	ion Papers								
,—	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a))☐ objected to by	the Examiner.					
, ,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmer	• •		_						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	048)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	•				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date			rmal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				

Application/Control Number: 10/002,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's traversal on the restriction requirement between claims drawn to an apparatus and claims drawn to a method is found persuasive. Accordingly, claims 18-20 are hereby rejoined with the elected apparatus claims and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 8-16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryson et al. (US 3,733,947) in view of Belec et al. (US 5,374,044).

Bryson discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a backstop rotating by a driver about an axis along an arcuate path in a single angular direction in a direction of movement of a sheet material article from a first position out of a path of movement to a second position in the path of movement, and further to a third position. Belec discloses a backstop (50) rotating by a driver (col. 5, lines 5-7) about an axis (54) in a single angular direction in a direction of movement of a sheet material article from a position out of a path of movement to a position in the path of movement. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Application/Control Number: 10/002,015

Art Unit: 3724

the device of Bryson with the backstop assembly of Belec in order to increase the speed and reliability of the sheet material article handler. Regarding claims 9-10, see col. 5, lines 7-11 of Belec. Regarding claim 12, an elongated member (52). Regarding claims 13 and 15, an intermittent drive mechanism (servo motor). Regarding claim 14, see col. 8, line 61-col. 10, line 56 of Bryson.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 8-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. This action is made Non-Final.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Driscoll et al is cited to show a related device.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 703-306-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/002,015

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

3 September 2004

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER